1	
	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
2	REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE DuPAGE
3	
4	
5	Hearing held, pursuant to notice, on the 2nd
6	day of April, 2021, between the hours of 10:00 a.m.
7	and 11:10 a.m. via Zoom teleconference.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: 3 Representative Elizabeth Hernandez, Chairperson Representative Curtis J. Tarver, II, Vice-Chairperson 4 Representative Tim Butler, Republican Spokesperson Representative Avery Bourne, Member 5 Representative Jehan Gordon-Booth, Member Representative Dave Severin, Member 6 Representative Ryan Spain, Member 7 Representative Terra Costa Howard Representative Kathleen Willis 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Court Reporter Lydia Pinkawa, CSR 23 Illinois CSR #084-002342 Alaris Litigation Services 711 North Eleventh Street 24

1	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: The House committee on
2	redistricting shall come to order. I'm state
3	representative Lisa Hernandez, a member of the
4	redistricting committee, and will be chairing today's
5	hearing. Before I make my statement, I'd like to
6	recognize Representative Willis and Representative
7	Costa will be joining us today.
8	And so I'd also like to thank everyone who

9 has chosen to participate in today's hearing. Your 10 insight and participation is as important as ever as we begin the redistricting process under 11 unprecedented circumstances. The United States and 12 13 Illinois constitutions require the general assembly 14 to redraw political boundaries every ten years to 15 account for population shifts. These hearings are a 16 part of that process. House Democrats are dedicated to transparency and public participation in the 17 redistricting process. 18

While state law requires a minimum of four public hearings throughout the state, our committee intends to hold far more and present additional avenues for public participation. Our goal is to hear from as many people as possible and to gain as much information as possible about the diverse

1 communities of our state. Our staff have been making 2 hundreds of phone calls to encourage participation in 3 these upcoming hearings. Those who wish to 4 participate should be given an opportunity, period. We are holding a series of virtual and in person 5 6 hearings throughout the state. As of last month, we 7 announced a schedule of 23 hearings before proposing 8 a redistricting plan. For comparison, in 2011 the 9 House redistricting committee held 17 hearings in total. 10

While we are guided in this endeavor by the 11 12 United States constitution, the Federal Voting Rights 13 Act, the Illinois constitution and the Illinois 14 Voting Rights Act, we are also interested in any 15 relevant demographic information such as social, 16 political, economic, religious or any other 17 characteristics that are unique to your community. We want to hear your specific views on any social, 18 19 political, economic or religious interests commonly 20 held in the community, any specific interest groups 21 that exist, any concerns you have regarding the 2.2 current boundaries or alternatively, what works well 23 about the current boundaries and suggestions for the 24 drawing of new boundaries. In short, we want to hear

1 any testimony that is relevant to the drawing of our 2 new political boundaries. 3 This year, for the first time, Illinois 4 residents will be able to participate in the process 5 from the comfort of their own homes, including 6 through remote participation in these hearings and by 7 drawing their own maps via web site and that's 8 through the public drawing portal that's available. 9 And you can find it at IllinoisHouseDems.com, slash, 10 Redistricting. This allows any Illinois resident to 11 draw districts and share those maps with the committee for consideration. 12 13 The public may also submit written testimony 14 or other public comment to the committee by e-mail at 15 RedistrictingCommittee@HDS.ILGA.com. All written 16 testimony, public comments and maps submitted to the 17 committee will be made publicly available at ILGA.gov and ILHouseDems.com, slash, Redistricting. 18 19 Transcripts of the committee's hearings will also be 20 uploaded to those sites. 21 Today we will begin with a short slide show 2.2 presentation. Following that brief, we will have a number of witnesses who have indicated a desire to 23 24 testify. If you have written testimony prepared, we

1	will ask that you submit the testimony to us and just
2	briefly summarize it in your oral presentation. Now
3	I will turn to Leader Butler for any acknowledgments
4	or a statement, if you wish.
5	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Sure. Thank you, Madam
6	Chair. Just a question. Is this a recessed hearing,
7	do we have to take roll or
8	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: That's correct. It's a
9	recess hearing. We don't have to take a roll call.
10	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And so is the plan
11	to have all of these recessed hearings, then? I
12	guess there's three today.
13	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: That's my understanding.
14	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And is the plan to
15	have this committee, this hearing done by 11 so we
16	can get on the other one or what's the
17	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Let's try to do that.
18	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. You know, I think
19	a lot of us made statements yesterday. I'm glad to
20	see the redistricting web site is up and going. I'd
21	be interested in knowing what data is being used for
22	the public to be able to draw maps right now, since
23	obviously the decennial census data is coming out.
24	So I'd be interested in knowing what data the

1 majority is using for people to be able to draw maps. 2 And I also would really request from you, 3 Madam Chair, what's going to happen with those maps that are submitted. You know, it sounds like you're 4 5 giving the public the opportunity to participate, but 6 I am skeptical of whether or not this will be used to 7 draw maps or if it's just an exercise in allowing 8 people to feel like they're doing something. So if 9 you could let us know what data is being used for 10 this, I would appreciate it, and what the plan is with obviously, I believe a publicly funded web site, 11 12 what the plan would be for any maps that will be 13 submitted. But I will keep it brief because we need 14 to get going so we can get to the next hearings 15 today. 16 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Sure. Okay, so we 17 will -- let me just remind everybody that -- I quess you can let all the witnesses in. And I do need to 18 19 remind that they are being recorded. So I'm asking 20 that you please spell your name when you introduce 21 vourselves. 2.2 So we only have, we have one panel today, and 23 let me read the names. I have Diane Hewitt from 24 Indivisible DuPage, Deena Habbal from the Illinois

1	Muslim Civic Coalition. I hope I'm pronouncing this
2	correctly. Azam Nizamuddin from the Illinois Muslim
3	Civic Coalition. We also have Cima Dairanieh from
4	the Civic, the Muslim Civic Coalition. We have Ryan
5	Tolley from Change Illinois and Janice Dorner from
6	the League of Women Voters and Donna Limper from
7	DuPage County. Let's start in that order with Diane
8	Hewitt, if you could begin, please. Don't forget to
9	spell your name out. Thank you.
10	MS. HEWITT: Sorry, I was going with is making
11	sure that equity is taken into account. And so in
12	the northern districts of DuPage, there are quite a
13	few pockets of Latino communities and the same thing
14	in Bensenville in particular as well, obviously as in
15	West Chicago. And so I think in particular, I think
16	that the 49th district has been a really great
17	district to see how, for example, a community can be
18	included with a voice in the districting because the
19	Latino population in the 49th district is extremely
20	strong and you can see how it really allows for the
21	representation.
22	I really have been impressed with how
23	senator, state senator, now State Senator Karina
24	Villa in her e-mails to the community, she has an

1	English and a Spanish version, which is extremely
2	helpful. I mean, that can really only come if you
3	have that type of representation. And I can also see
4	that the staffers in Maura Hirschauer's district are
5	bilingual, which is extremely helpful when it comes
6	to actually meeting the community's needs.
7	And when you look at the demographics of
8	DuPage, 14 percent of the population is Latino, with
9	12 percent being of an Asian national origin. And
10	when you hear that Urdu is one of the second most
11	common language that's being taught in ESL, I think
12	that there's some significant populations in the
13	Asian-American community that need to be looked at
14	with where they actually live in the northern
15	districts. So that's the main thing that I'd really
16	like to highlight.
17	And also the fact that from an income
18	distribution perspective, I think that there's not
19	that many places where low income housing is actually
20	in DuPage. And so you can see where, for example,

21 some of the districts have not a lot, like hardly any 22 representation from different economic backgrounds. 23 I think that can be extremely problematic because 24 then a lot of times their needs aren't even heard or

1	what have you. So that's the main things that I'd
2	like to bring to your attention. But I really am
3	excited to hear that you all are taking input from
4	the community with regards to mapping because for so
5	long that really hasn't been the case. So having the
6	input is extremely helpful.
7	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, Diane, thank you.
8	Spokesperson Butler, are you okay if we wait for
9	questions to the end and have everybody go? Would
10	that be okay?
11	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: That's fine.
12	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: All right, we move on to
13	Deena Habbal from the Muslim Civic Coalition.
14	MS. HABBAL: Hi, my name is Deena, spelled
15	D-e-e-n-a, last name is H-a-b-b-a-l. And I'm here
16	with the Illinois Muslim Civic Coalition. Thank you,
17	Madam Chair and committee members for having me here
18	today. I'm going to share my screen, if that's okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Mm hmm.
20	MS. HABBAL: So we are a coalition of over 150
21	partners and allies of all races, ethnicities,
22	classes, faiths and backgrounds and currently doing
23	work in ten counties across Illinois. Our focus is
24	ensuring policies made for us are made with us, and

1	redistricting is one critical piece of this. And we
2	would like to thank Change Illinois, Asian Americans
3	Advancing Justice and other partner organizations for
4	sharing information about these meetings with us.
5	The coalition recommends that legislators
6	make sure census supports, census data supports
7	redistricting and that the process is transparent,
8	inclusive and clear. In Illinois, we have
9	communities that did not exist in 2010 such as the
10	Rohingya community and the Syrian refugee community,
11	others that weren't vocal about their needs and
12	others that have grown in the past ten years such as
13	the African immigrant communities. Our communities
14	fit in many of these criteria.
15	This past year I worked as a community
16	organizer with my husband. We were getting out the
17	vote and getting people to fill out the census and we
18	actually filled out the census together for the first
19	time as a family, and we saw firsthand how diverse
20	our state actually is. So I'm going to share a
21	little data with you and then my colleague Cima is
22	going to share what's happening in neighborhoods
23	across this area.
24	So the first thing we want to share, that

1	Muslim communities across our nation are one of the
2	most diverse faith groups. Though we are not a
3	religious organization, we want to highlight that
4	race, class, faith all connect. We ask that you
5	consider these as critical aspects of the community
6	during the redistricting process.
7	Now, this slide shows the diversity of
8	American Muslim communities in Illinois. Those who
9	identify as Muslim also identify as African-American,
10	Asian, white, Arab, Latino, African immigrant. And
11	this is a story many of us don't know because they're
12	oftentimes invisible communities. I, myself,
13	represent three slices of this pie, Arab, white,
14	native American.
15	So this graph here shows that Illinois had,
16	per capita, the largest population of Muslims in the
17	nation, so more than New York, Texas and Michigan.
18	Knowing this and the fact that there's not a single
19	Illinois state legislator that identifies as Muslim
20	is unjust and we believe redistricting can help
21	correct this. Now I'm going to hand it over to Cima
22	to talk a little bit about her experience.
23	MS. DAIRANIEH: Thank you, Deena. Good
24	morning. Thank you for having us. My name is Cima,

1	first name C-i-m-a, last name
2	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Cima, excuse me. Before
3	you go on, I have to step back a little bit.
4	MS. DAIRANIEH: Sure.
5	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: I omitted one step before
6	the witnesses. And I am looking, there's a
7	presentation from staff that was supposed to occur
8	before the witnesses.
9	MS. DAIRANIEH: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: So I'm going to ask staff
11	and we'll resume with the witnesses right after the
12	staff presentation.
13	MS. DAIRANIEH: Okay.
14	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Staff, are we ready for
15	that?
16	MS. JACKSON DONNELL: Yes. I will go ahead and
17	share my screen. So my name is Marissa Jackson
18	Donnell. I'm an employee of the Illinois House of
19	Representatives. I'm just here to give a brief
20	overview of the state's redistricting procedure.
21	I'll briefly discuss the background of redistricting
22	and the redistricting process in Illinois. I'm
23	also going to be going over federal and state
24	redistricting requirements and guidelines. Finally,

1	we will be looking at what preliminary data reveals
2	about population trends in the state and region.
3	Redistricting is a process that ensures all
4	Illinois residents are afforded equal representation
5	in the state legislature and federal Congress as
6	guaranteed by the United States constitution. The
7	U.S. Supreme Court held a series of rulings in the
8	1960's that congressional and state legislative
9	districts in each state must be of approximately
10	equal population, establishing the principle of one
11	person, one vote. As a result, states redraw their
12	congressional and state districts every ten years to
13	reflect changes in population.
14	The timeline for redrawing the legislative
15	maps are based on the Illinois constitution. The
16	constitution gives the Illinois legislature the
17	initial responsibility of passing the new legislative
18	map. Both the state House and the state Senate must
19	pass a plan with majority votes which then must be
20	signed by the governor.
21	If a new map does not go into effect by the
22	constitutional deadline of June 30th, responsibility
23	for creating a new map passes from the legislature

24 to a legislative redistricting commission. The

1	commission would consist of eight members, with two
2	each appointed by the legislative leaders and no more
3	than four from the same political party. A majority
4	vote of five or more commission members will
5	constitute final approval of a new map.
6	However, if the commission does not agree to
7	a map by September 1st, the Illinois Supreme Court
8	will submit two names, one from each party, to the
9	Illinois secretary of state, who will then choose one
10	name at random to serve as the ninth member of the
11	commission. Five of the nine members of the
12	commission must agree to a plan no later than
13	October 5th.
14	In order to understand the process of
15	creating new districts, we must look at some legal
16	guiding principles. First among these is equal
17	population. Congressional districts must be nearly
18	equal in population, while state districts must be
19	substantially equal population.
20	Federal and state law also requires that all
21	districts be contiguous, which means that all parts
22	of a district must be next to each other unless
23	connected by water. Annexations and unincorporated
24	areas in municipalities and counties sometimes mean

1	communities are discontiguous. However,
2	congressional and state districts must be adjacent
3	or connected at all points.
4	A more difficult requirement to define is
5	compactness. There are at least 30 different
6	scientific ways to measure how compact a district
7	is, where no statute or court precedent identifies
8	standard criteria of compactness. In theory,
9	compactness focuses on the shape of a district's
10	boundaries, how spread out a district is from a
11	central core or where the district's population
12	center of gravity is. In practice, compactness is
13	hindered by geography and on the ground realities, so
14	often the interpretation of compactness involves more
15	than a visual test. Illinois is one of 14 states
16	that nests its house districts, also called
17	representative districts, inside one of its senate
18	districts, also called legislative districts.
19	Map makers will also look, where possible, to
20	follow existing political and geographical boundaries
21	in ways that maximize a community's opportunity to
22	have its interests represented. As you know,
23	however, county, municipality, township and natural
24	geographic boundaries are not always compact or

1 contiguous.

2	By studying population data and holding
3	public hearings like this to listen to the thoughts
4	of residents across the state, law makers look to
5	identify what are known as communities of interest.
6	A community of interest is a group of people
7	concentrated in a geographic area where socially,
8	culturally, ethnically, economically, religiously or
9	otherwise alike can be joined in a district to most
10	effectively have their voices heard. The factors
11	contributing to any particular community of interest
12	will vary throughout the state.
13	Federal law requires redistricting plans to
14	represent minority representation. The principles of
15	the Federal Voting Rights Act are in place to prevent
16	the reduction of opportunities for minority
17	populations to participate equally in the electoral
18	process. However, districts are not redrawn solely
19	on the basis of race.
20	Illinois law also protects representation of
21	racial and language minority groups. The Illinois
22	Voting Rights Act helps to prevent minority
23	fracturing or dividing a concentration of minorities

24 among two or more districts by requiring map makers to consider creating crossover districts, coalition
 districts or influence districts.

3 A crossover district is one in which large 4 minority groups may elect the candidate of its choice 5 with help from voters of the majority group. A coalition district brings multiple racial or minority 6 7 groups together to elect a candidate of their choice. 8 An influence districts allows a group to influence an 9 election outcome without being the majority 10 population in a district. These state law 11 requirements come into play only after compliance with federal law and U.S. and state constitutional 12 13 requirements on redistricting.

In addition, the law ensures transparency and 14 15 public participation by requiring public hearings 16 across the state, one of which we are having here 17 right now. At the end of the presentation, I'll display an e-mail address on the screen which Chair 18 19 Hernandez already provided where you can submit your 20 ideas. The committee is also accepting written 21 testimony today.

Now that we've covered the redistricting process, let's discuss what data shows about Illinois' population. The U.S. Census Bureau has

1	delayed the release of much of the decennial census
2	data by more than six months until this fall.
3	However, the U.S. Census Bureau's annual population
4	estimate shows some trends that can help inform the
5	work ahead. The census 2019 population estimate
6	shows an overall decline in state population over the
7	past decade. There are, however, areas that project
8	to have population gains. Communities with the
9	largest population by percentage increase since 2010
10	are Kendall, Monroe, Champaign and Kane counties.
11	Demographically speaking, the state's white
12	population is projected to decline, while
13	African-American populations statewide will likely
14	see a smaller overall decline. The Hispanic and
15	Asian population across Illinois are expected to see
16	growth. Locally, DuPage County is projected to see a
17	modest increase in population. The county's white
18	population stands to decline, while African-American,
19	Asian and Hispanic population will likely increase.
20	Thank you for your attention and for coming
21	out to share your thoughts today. As the Chair
22	mentioned, you'll be able to find testimony from
23	this and other hearings on ILGA.gov and on
24	ILHouseDems.com, slash, redistricting. The

1	redistricting web site offers additional ways to
2	engage with the process, including our public drawing
3	portal where you can draw and submit your own
4	district maps. You can send further written
5	testimony and suggestions to the committee any time
6	by e-mailing redistricting committee at HDS.ILGA.gov.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. So
9	Cima, if you could resume, I'm sorry I had to hold it
10	up. But please continue.
11	MS. DAIRANIEH: Do you need me to re-spell my
12	name? Was that captured, do you think?
13	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Could you spell the whole
14	name?
15	MS. DAIRANIEH: Cima, C-i-m-a, last name
16	Dairanieh, D-a-i-r-a-n-i-e-h. And I am with the
17	Civic Coalition, Illinois Muslim Civic Coalition. I
18	just wanted to echo what Deena had said about DuPage
19	changing quite a bit. We are third generation in our
20	family, DuPage residents, parents, myself and now my
21	children. And as she said, we represent many, many
22	slices of that pie. However, the current
23	redistricting is not really allowing us to kind of
24	come through and see that represented in public

1 offices.

2	We are also diverse as in we have south Asian
3	representation, we are Arab, we are white. We are
4	also diverse in our contributions. We are educators,
5	therapists, business owners, lawyers, et cetera. And
6	like I said, we've lived here for three generations
7	and I've personally seen a change in, for example, my
8	siblings going to public high schools. They're five
9	years apart. The makeup of their graduating class
10	looks very, very different in a five year span, let
11	alone 10 or 20 years.
12	And we are working with you and all our
13	partners to make sure that the redistricting allows
14	us to kind of cut through that and see representation
15	that will allow for that equitable representation.
16	So we appreciate your time with letting us come in
17	and share our experiences and how this
18	intersectionality really does deserve to be
19	represented. Thank you for your time.
20	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank you for
21	your remarks. We'll continue with Azam. Is Azam
22	MS. HABBAL: I don't think he's on the call.
23	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. So next we'll go
24	to Ryan Tolley from Change Illinois.

1	MR. TOLLEY: Thank you, Chair Hernandez and
2	committee members, for providing me with the
3	opportunity to testify today. My name is Ryan
4	Tolley. That is R-y-a-n, last name T-o-l-l-e-y.
5	I am the policy director for Change Illinois and the
6	Change Illinois Action Fund. Both are nonpartisan
7	non-profits that educate, engage and advocate for
8	ethics and efficiency in governments and elections.
9	Change Illinois is a coalition alongside our
10	diverse partners in more than 30 organizations. We
11	have long advocated for an independent, transparent
12	redistricting process. There's now been two weeks of
13	hearings that covered almost half the counties in
14	Illinois. Despite that, participation by the general
15	public at these hearings has not been nearly enough
16	to ensure that maps will accurately reflect the
17	state's many diverse communities. There are a number
18	of community and good government organizations whose
19	representatives have testified, and all of us
20	independently have said that the Senate and House
21	committees need more transparency.
22	We appreciate the effort by the House to post
23	their upcoming hearings with more notice, but we
24	continue to have concerns about the lack of

1	transparency and detail about the subject matter,
2	about how people should know who should show up to
3	which committee hearings based on vague geographic
4	regions and about how testimony will be reflected in
5	map proposals. There's been no indication of what
6	the process looks like after April 17th when the last
7	House committee hearing is scheduled to take place.
8	A fair map does not result from simply
9	holding hearings. There must be much more robust
10	outreach to communities across the state to give
11	people ample time to attend. In the past few years,
12	the state has spent millions of dollars to ensure
13	widespread participation in the 2020 census.
14	Currently, the state isn't doing a fraction of that
15	to ensure that people are aware of opportunities to
16	participate in redistricting hearings that set how
17	they will be represented for the next ten years.
18	Posting notice on government web sites is clearly
19	insufficient. Good government groups and community
20	organizations are doing their part to notify
21	residents, but it's challenging when we cannot answer
22	their basic questions.
23	The people of Illinois need more outreach.
24	They need this committee to respond to their input

1	and explain how they use the map proposals. People
2	absolutely need to see map proposals weeks before
3	votes are taken and they need to have the opportunity
4	to weigh in and add additional public hearings after
5	maps are made public and before votes are cast.
6	To date, we've seen a great deal of focus on
7	what data will be used to produce maps. Since it
8	appears that the intention is to push forward with
9	map drawing, community members are left with no
10	choice but to use American Community Survey sampling
11	data for their testimony. ACS data was not intended
12	to be used for legislative drawing legislative
13	maps. Law makers have presented options that are
14	confounding and will not guarantee a fair process nor
15	equitable maps. The options are either to risk our
16	democracy to the whim of a random draw or allow for
17	maps to be pushed through using ACS data that again
18	was never intended to be used for map drawing.
19	There are other options that can be pursued.
20	As the representatives from the National Conference
21	of State Legislators repeatedly have said in their
22	testimony, the Illinois general assembly could appeal
23	to the courts to grant a delay in the constitutional
24	deadlines to wait for census data. Elected officials

1 could pass a law to push back our primary election 2 date and the petition filing period to ensure that 3 map drawers have enough time to create maps before 4 election filing deadlines. Such court ruling has 5 been pursued in other states, like Oregon and 6 California.

7 This week, the Chicago Tribune editorial 8 board reported that sources were told the senate 9 already has its tentative maps drawn, raising 10 additional questions about whether public input will 11 be used. If they're already drawn, how can anyone be 12 assured public input will be reflected in these maps 13 that will form the foundation of our democracy for 14 the next ten years. If maps already exist, are they 15 going to be made available now so the public can 16 weigh in on map proposals at upcoming hearings?

17 If proposals are being devised and not made 18 public, then that raises questions of what purpose 19 these public hearings actually serve. We need more 20 accountability. We need to ensure that maps 21 prioritize federal and state voting rights act and 2.2 protects communities of interest. The people of 23 Illinois need and want law makers to make it clear in 24 state law that districts will be created without

1	prioritizing incumbents or candidates from one party,
2	whether that is for their benefit or their harm.
3	When California created districts that way in
4	2011, these maps produced results of an increase in
5	the number of majority members and nine more elected
6	people of color. A compliance report is needed
7	explaining how these maps meet these shared values,
8	along with the value of prioritizing communities of
9	color and those for whom English is not their primary
10	language. Non-English speakers continue to face
11	impediments in participating in this process. It is
12	imperative that more information be provided about
13	how these hearings will be conducted, how the maps
14	will be drawn.
15	We ask this committee and the House to meet
16	the challenges ahead so Illinois can get a map that
17	puts power in their hands. Illinois' districts
18	belong to the people. They expect an independent and
19	transparent process that values and responds to their
20	input. They expect maps to be created that aren't
21	based on politics but on the needs of their
22	community. We urge you to meet their clear
23	expectations.
24	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Ryan,

1	for your testimony. We'll move on to Janice Dorner
2	from the League of Women Voters.
3	MS. DORNER: Thank you, Chair Hernandez and the
4	House redistricting committee members, for the
5	
	opportunity to testify about the remapping process in
6	Illinois. I am Jan, J-a-n, Dorner, D-o-r-n-e-r,
7	co-president of the League of Women Voters of
8	Elmhurst.
9	The League of Women Voters of Illinois has
10	worked for reforms for the state redistricting
11	process since the 1990's. For the past decade,
12	efforts have focused on an amendment to the Illinois
13	constitution to provide for a more transparent
14	process and community input through an independent
15	commission. Prior to the last redistricting in 2011,
16	Illinois general assembly passed a bill protecting
17	the voting rights of racial and linguistic minority
18	communities and requiring the legislature to hold a
19	minimum of four public hearings before passing
20	redistricting plans. However, there was no time
21	allowed for incorporating public input after the maps
22	were proposed.
23	The 2011 process will not be enough in 2021.
24	This year, complete 2020 census data will not be

1 available until well past the date by which the 2 Illinois general assembly or a backup commission is 3 constitutionally required to draw maps. Therefore, 4 it's crucial for the ILGA to pass legislation like House bill 3581 or Senate bill 2554 that will provide 5 6 transparency and allow for more public input into the 7 redistricting process. The legislature -- the 8 legislation should require 35 public redistricting 9 hearings to surpass the number held in 2011, a public 10 hearing after the introduction of the final map that 11 will allow for adjustments to be made before the ILGA 12 or a backup commission votes, a web site for map 13 submission from the public and relevant records from 14 the general assembly's work on the remap, written 15 evidence for the final proposed map meets Federal 16 Voting Rights Act and Illinois Voting Rights Act 17 standards, ensures effective representation of racial and linguistic minorities and provides for 18 19 preservation and protection of community 20 stakeholders. 21 Additionally, if the Illinois general 2.2 assembly is not using 2021 Census Bureau data to draw 23 the initial maps, they should consider appropriate 24 adjustments to the maps after the new data is

1	available to better represent racial and linguistic
2	minorities and other communities of interest. To
3	enable the public to participate in the redistricting
4	process, it is imperative that more information be
5	provided about how hearings will be conducted, what
6	will be discussed and that greater notice will be
7	given about the hearing dates. To that point, I
8	received notice of this hearing last night.
9	Thank you for considering legislation that
10	will make the redistricting process more accessible,
11	equitable and transparent and for providing the
12	League of Women Voters of Elmhurst the opportunity to
13	testify.
14	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Jan.
15	And last, we have Donna Limper.
16	MS. LIMPER: Thank you. My name is Donna,
17	D-o-n-n-a, Limper, L-i-m-p-e-r. I am a chapter
18	leader for Represent Us, DuPage County. Represent Us
19	is a grass roots pro democracy group that has
20	chapters across the nation. Represent Us advocates
21	for independent, fully transparent redistricting
22	commissions that follow strict guidelines. We
23	believe in ensuring representation for all voters,
24	regardless of political party, and fostering

1	competition in the politics industry.
2	Represent Us is concerned about the potential
3	for politicians to intentionally draw redistricting
4	lines around voters in order to guarantee their own
5	re-election or to give their political party an
6	unfair advantage. Local groups now have tools to
7	examine maps with a lens towards solving local
8	problems, including representation of
9	underrepresented groups, mental health, drug
10	addiction, law enforcement, school consolidation and
11	other social and economic issues.
12	Past and current corruption scandals have
13	plagued Illinois citizens. Transparency and time for
14	public review and input is essential to restore faith
15	in Illinois government. Any redistricting hearings
16	conducted should give plenty of notice to the public
17	and be conducted at times that the general public can
18	attend. As a grass roots group, this is incredibly
19	important.
20	We believe Illinois is at a turning point in
21	ethical and accountable government, and how the
22	redistricting process plays out will set the tone for
23	the future. In closing, Represent Us believes
24	elections should be both fair and competitive and put

1	voters in control. Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Donna,
3	for your remarks. I'm going to open it up to
4	questions from the members. Any questions? Leader
5	Butler.
6	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	A question for a few of the witnesses. Mrs. Habbal,
8	on your presentation, on your PowerPoint, I thought
9	it said that you would have maps prepared by
10	April 30th. Is that correct? I thought I saw
11	something on your
12	MS. HABBAL: Yes, we will show those maps when we
13	finish them.
14	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And
15	MS. HABBAL: They should have been prepared,
16	but I'm sorry.
17	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: No, go ahead.
18	MS. HABBAL: So they should have been prepared,
19	but I'll make sure, I'll ask my team and then we can
20	send those via e-mail.
21	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And what data are
22	you using to draw those maps?
23	MS. HABBAL: That's something I have to get back
24	to you in the e-mail about. I'm personally not sure.

1	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And you talked
2	about having a transparent process for this. What's
3	your, kind of what's your definition of a transparent
4	process? How would we how should we make this
5	more transparent? What would you like to see us do?
6	MS. HABBAL: So I'm not an expert in all this,
7	but from what I understand from talking to the team
8	and joining the Change Illinois and other groups is
9	just having, using our census data and just, you
10	know, incorporating communities and actually
11	understanding who Illinois is right now and that we
12	do need updated data because we are a different
13	community than we were ten years ago. So just
14	keeping that in mind, that we are no longer that
15	community and we should update based on that so that
16	the people here today get fair representation. Even
17	like having Arabs considered as white. I mean, we
18	don't get the same perks as someone who is considered
19	white gets. Like speaking of the Syrian community,
20	you know, the refugees. So I think just having fair
21	representation and knowing you can't clump everyone
22	together and expect like the same outcome for each.
23	I'm not an expert.
24	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: I understand.

1	Mr. Tolley, you had said that, and I believe, I would
2	assume Change has probably been at all the hearings
3	in the Senate and the two, obviously, that we've had
4	here in the House. Why hasn't there been public
5	participation in these hearings? There has not been
6	great public participation.
7	MR. TOLLEY: Sure, thanks for the question. You
8	know, I think for us, we've been trying to do our
9	part in letting residents know, other community
10	organizations know. Particularly in the beginning,
11	notice was very slow to come out. You know, some of
12	the questions we received were, you know, what should
13	I be talking about at these hearings, should I be
14	talking about my local community, is this hearing for
15	the process? I felt the presentation at the
16	beginning today was great, but you know, that's
17	helpful information for everyone to know, but that
18	would have been something easily, you know, we could
19	have made available to people, hey, tune in today,
20	see how the redistricting process works and then come
21	and talk about your local community.
22	So you know, we're doing the best with
23	information we're getting to get people to these
24	hearings so they can talk about their communities

24 hearings so they can talk about their communities.

1	But people working full-time jobs, people who are not
2	doing this as a living, it's difficult for them to
3	take days off work, to take time out of their
4	schedule, particularly hearing I understand we
5	can't, you know, there's no perfect time for
6	everyone. But the more we can notify people, they
7	can make arrangements and be here.
8	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Okay. And you also
9	mentioned that the testimony from these hearings
10	should be reflected in map proposals. And the way I
11	heard that from you, I think you mean that should be
12	a little bit more than just showing up for a hearing
13	and saying what everybody has to say and hoping that
14	somehow that gets reflected in maps that probably are
15	going to be drawn behind closed doors.
16	So how would you envision a process currently
17	that we have either before the June 30 deadline or
18	beyond for the legislature to act or the deadlines
19	that we have in place constitutionally for the
20	commission to act, how do you see actually the public
21	having better say in how those maps are drawn? It's
22	one thing to testify in a hearing today. It's one
23	thing to go on a web site and use data that isn't
24	right to draw a map. But how would you envision the

1 public actually being involved to help us draw the 2 maps? 3 MR. TOLLEY: There's a few ways to go about it. 4 You know, one of the things we pushed for for a long 5 time is the compliance report. We talk a lot about the ideals we share in prioritizing the federal 6 7 Voting Rights Act, prioritizing the Illinois Voting 8 Rights Act. But a compliance report could really 9 discern for the public to say, you know, for 10 District A this is a federal voting rights district, 11 this is how we met the requirement, this is a district created by the Illinois Voting Rights Act, 12 this district was created through community input by 13 14 XYZ participants testifying at this hearing on this 15 day. So things like that. 16 It's also putting a lot more of this 17 communication on the public web site and saying when someone submits their testimony and having a response 18 19 saying, you know, this testimony is very good, let's 20 use your -- we're going to use your feedback in 21 creating this district. 2.2 Those are simple things we can do so the 23 public understands how the sausage is getting made 24 rather than we all show up here, we say what we want

1	to see our districts look like and then we, you know,
2	in a month or two a map surfaces and we're getting
3	votes on it within a few days without the public
4	understanding or being able to go look at the maps
5	and say let me see how my testimony matches up with
6	what these final results are. If votes are taken
7	before the public has the opportunity to do that,
8	that's concerning.
9	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Do you believe that
10	political, other than compliance with VRA, do you
11	think political data should be used in drawing maps?

MR. TOLLEY: I don't think, as I said in my testimony, that we should be drawing maps based on incumbents or based on a political candidate. And that goes both ways. That's whether to favor them or to harm them, you know, by drawing someone out of a district that may be a political challenger.

18 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Got you. Well, thank you 19 for your testimony. And I've really got to highlight 20 one thing you said that really stuck with me, and 21 that's ACS data was not meant to be used to draw 22 maps. And I think that's something that's come clear 23 in the senate hearings and these two hearings that 24 we've had.

1	Ms. Dorner, I did have one question for you.
2	You mentioned that if, basically that if the
3	legislature passed maps by June 30, the deadline that
4	they're required to that we're required to pass
5	maps by, that they can be changed, that they should
6	be changed then after the full decennial census data
7	comes out, which now we know will probably be mid to
8	late August, the data that we can use. How would
9	that comply with the constitution, how could the
10	legislature go back, I mean if we draw one set of
11	maps but we're actually changing it after the July 30
12	deadline, then we're actually not complying with the
13	constitution, correct?
14	MS. DORNER: To go back to what Ryan had
15	suggested about going to the judiciary about
16	changing, modifying the requirements, I'm not an
17	attorney, but if, as Ryan said, to use inappropriate
18	material to draw maps, if that's what you have to do
19	in order to meet the constitution and to get
20	something passed through a lawsuit or change in
21	the judiciary and making a change in the
22	constitutionality of that, wow, that sounds really
23	mixed up.
24	But what I'm saying is there's got to be

1	I'm not an attorney nor do I play one, you know, on
2	TV, but there would be, there's got to be a way to do
3	what Ryan had suggested, was go to the courts, say
4	this isn't right. We can't use this data for maps.
5	We'll pretend to come up with a map and use this
6	data, but then once the real census data comes out,
7	we need to do a real map.
8	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: And I agree. If I think
9	if the legislature passes maps before June 30th with
10	the either explicit or implicit idea that we're going
11	to change the maps after that deadline, then I would
12	think that it would defer to the constitutionally
13	mandated commission that we had, that we would have
14	set up, which we have used, I think three in the last
15	four redistricting processes I think that commission
16	has actually been used. Thank you for your testimony
17	and thank you, Madam Chair. I see there are other
18	members that have questions.
19	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Representative Bourne and
20	Representative Spain.
21	REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: Ryan, if you'd like to go
22	first.
23	REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: No, ladies first. Go
24	ahead.

1	REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: This question is for
2	those who live in the local area. I know a lot of
3	the testimony today is focused on the process and a
4	lot of that is because we don't see this data to know
5	these local characteristics that you might want to
6	keep together or make sure is represented in a
7	certain way. So often what we have to look at is the
8	previous map and whether or not you think that that
9	is effective or was fairly drawn.
10	Are there any local characteristics of your
11	legislative maps that you think are inherently
12	unfair, things that you want to avoid? If we're
13	asking for input on legislative maps that may end up
14	being drawn in a back room, I'm interested to know
15	how you all would like to avoid this from happening.
16	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Diane, you can go ahead
17	and respond, if you'd like.
18	MS. HEWITT: In particular when, for example,
19	precincts are split up, that tends to be like you
20	can have a precinct split in half or what have you,
21	that tends to be very difficult for the local people
22	to know which district they're in. So in essence I
23	think, what, it's only nine percent of the population
24	actually knows who their state rep is. And so we'd

like to make it a little bit easier for them to know
 their own districts.

3 Another thing I think that really could be 4 more fair is diversity in income distribution in your 5 district. When you have, for example, some districts 6 in certain areas of our county where the income 7 distribution is not very spread out, like you can 8 have a very high income district income in, for 9 example, a Downers Grove township community and then 10 it's not as representative, for example, of the state, or of the area in general if you just have a 11 12 very high pocket of income distribution. That's it. But thank you very much for allowing me to testify. 13 14 I forgot to say that at the beginning.

15 MS. DORNER: Just real briefly, I can say that 16 ten years ago Elmhurst was split in half as far as 17 house reps and senate rep -- our senators, state led 18 senators, and ten years ago Elmhurst became whole. 19 And I would hate to see us go back the way it was. 20 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, Representative 21 Avery, is that okay? Can we move --2.2 REPRESENTATIVE BOURNE: (Nodding.) 23 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Representative Spain. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Thank you very much, Madam

1	Chair. I really want to thank all the witnesses for
2	joining us and presenting testimony. And not just
3	that. So many of the witnesses that have spoken
4	today were key partners with all of us in the census.
5	And as we learned yesterday from NCSL, Illinois made
6	the second highest dedication of resources in the
7	country to making sure that we had a full, complete
8	and accurate count. And many of those resources were
9	able to be deployed through all of you as great
10	partners. So I just want to thank you all for that.
11	And especially Change Illinois was very involved with
12	working with us on the census, too.
13	But Mr. Tolley, I do want to talk to you
	Duc III. IOIIcy, I do want to taik to you
14	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward
14 15	
	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward
15	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of
15 16	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various
15 16 17	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various constitutional amendment efforts that we have had
15 16 17 18	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various constitutional amendment efforts that we have had following the failure of the independent maps
15 16 17 18 19	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various constitutional amendment efforts that we have had following the failure of the independent maps petition effort led by citizens and then when we
15 16 17 18 19 20	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various constitutional amendment efforts that we have had following the failure of the independent maps petition effort led by citizens and then when we pivoted to introduce legislation to create
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various constitutional amendment efforts that we have had following the failure of the independent maps petition effort led by citizens and then when we pivoted to introduce legislation to create constitutional amendments, I was the sponsor in the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about Change Illinois' perspective as we go forward because and we've worked together for a number of years. And just looking back to the various constitutional amendment efforts that we have had following the failure of the independent maps petition effort led by citizens and then when we pivoted to introduce legislation to create constitutional amendments, I was the sponsor in the 100th general assembly of House joint resolution

1	HJRCA 15. I was a chief co for Representative Costa
2	Howard's version of that, constitutional amendment
3	41. In each of those, we had a lot in those
4	amendments about how we would create a different way
5	forward to do legislative redistricting. But would
6	you agree that a key component in every one of those
7	amendments is that we would take the legislators, the
8	politicians out of the process for drawing our own
9	maps?
10	MR. TOLLEY: All right, for the constitutional
11	amendments, yes, that was a major feature of the
12	legislation, was putting that power back into the
13	hands of residents of Illinois to draw up legislative
14	maps rather than elected officials. You know, we
15	were unsuccessful, as you noted, in getting that on
16	the ballot and having it go for voters to decide.
17	And you know, now it is April 2nd. The re-map has to
18	happen this year. We have worked on additional
19	legislation, that's HB 3581, which takes a lot of
20	great components from the fair maps amendment. Again
21	the shared ideals that I had spoken to earlier that I
22	think a lot of members in these hearings and in the
23	senate hearings talked about, like prioritizing the
24	Federal Voting Rights Act, like transparency,

1 accountability in working with where we are in the 2 process today.

And additionally, as I said in my testimony, you know, as we're looking at options, there's still the ability to go to the courts and seek relief and wait for the census data to continue this process. It's being done in other states and I think it would be in our interest to at least consider that.

9 REPRESENTATIVE SPAIN: Sure. But in your 10 testimony you, in fact, included two recommendations. 11 One is to petition the court for relief in terms of 12 timing and the second was for the legislature to push 13 back our primary. So the thing that's missing is the 14 primary objective that we always had in every version 15 of these constitutional amendments before. What are 16 we doing to take the politicians out of drawing our own maps in a smoke filled, dark room and then 17 presenting it and dropping it into the laps of 18 19 voters? We can buy all the time in the world, but if 20 we still operate with that fundamental principle of 21 unfairness and allowing the politicians to select 2.2 their own voters, we have failed. 23 So I appreciate your testimony and I

24 appreciate those two recommendations. But there's a

1	big one, the biggest one of all that is being left
2	out here. And I strongly encourage that we think
3	about a different way forward here so that we do not
4	repeat the mistakes of the past and continue to
5	deliver to all of our citizens a bad map. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
7	Representative Spain. Do we have any other questions
8	for members?
9	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Madam Chair, I'm just
10	going to follow up real quick to Mr. Tolley, if you
11	don't mind.
12	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Go right ahead.
13	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: So Mr. Tolley, has Change
14	met with Speaker Welch recently about the map
15	process?
16	MR. TOLLEY: We did meet with the speaker earlier
17	this year about the map process.
18	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: And what were the subject
19	of those discussions?
20	MR. TOLLEY: I mean, we talked generally about
21	things we'd like to see in this re-map, a lot of
22	which was provided in my testimony today that we
23	continue to advocate for.
24	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: And how was that received

1 by the speaker?

2	MR. TOLLEY: I think with everything that, you
3	know, they're looking and considering, but we have
4	not heard any definitive answers on some of the
5	things we would like to see and of course we continue
6	to be here advocating today to all of you.
7	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: I would say, just again
8	to follow up on Representative Spain's point, I think
9	Change particularly is in a unique situation to help
10	live up to your name, honestly, and drive change
11	right now, holding legislators accountable. I'm glad
12	to see Representative Costa Howard is on here. As
13	Representative Spain noted, we were both co-sponsors
14	of her legislation which was your legislation last
15	year. As I stated yesterday, we have a majority of
16	the general assembly, literally a majority of the
17	general assembly that has either voted for,
18	co-sponsored or has expressed public support going to
19	a commission. And whether it's going asking for
20	relief legally or, as I stated yesterday, we have a
21	commission we can use.
22	Now, granted, it hasn't been it has only
23	been used previously in a political manner, but that

24 doesn't mean the commission that we have spelled out

1	in the constitution can't be used in a non-political								
2	manner if you, your organization especially, holds								
3	the leaders of the general assembly and all the								
4	members of the general assembly who support a								
5	commission to appoint people to that commission that								
6	would support a fair maps process. And I would urge								
7	your organization to step up and start doing that or								
8	else we're going to have a map shoved down our								
9	throats by June 30th. That's what's going to happen.								
10	And so I would really urge you guys to step up and								
11	start putting pressure on members who are on record								
12	supporting a commission. Because I think you can								
13	make that change. I really do. But you guys have								
14	got to really step it up.								
15	MR. TOLLEY: If it's okay, I do want to clarify a								
16	few things. Is that okay, Representative?								
17	REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: (Nodding.)								
18	MR. TOLLEY: So I do want to clarify this								
19	commission that is in our state constitution is								
20	nothing near what we've advocated for in the past.								
21	We advocated for a citizens led process that would								
22	be outside the scope of the general assembly. So								
23	saying, trying to say that this back up commission								
24	is somewhat parallel or related, that's just not								

1 possible.

2 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: Listen, it's the only 3 commission you've got available this year, in my 4 mind. So it's either that or you're going to have 5 the majority party draw maps behind closed doors. 6 That's what's going to happen.

7 MR. TOLLEY: I will have to disagree with you 8 that the back up commission in our constitution is a 9 nonpartisan process like we've advocated for in the 10 past. And as someone who for years has been 11 advocating to change that process, they are not one 12 and the same.

13 REPRESENTATIVE BUTLER: I'm not saying they are 14 the same. And as you know, I've co-sponsored your 15 legislation. I believe that that's the way to go. 16 My point is if you put the political pressure on the 17 four leaders of the general assembly to appoint members which -- four legislative members and four 18 19 public members, if you put the pressure on the 20 leaders of the general assembly to appoint people to 21 that commission who believe in your principles, I 2.2 think you can drive change. We're not, I mean, we've 23 tried to get the constitutional amendment, we've 24 tried to set it up. I've had legislation to do it

1	statutorily, there's legislation out there by Senator
2	Barickman to do it statutorily right now. But
3	obviously, you guys have met with the speaker. I
4	don't know what those discussions were. It
5	disappoints me a little bit that you met with the
6	speaker and as far as I know, you haven't met with
7	the other four leaders about what you guys would like
8	to see. But I think you have a real ability to drive
9	some change here between now and when the map
10	actually gets drawn.
11	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Leader Butler, I believe,
12	Diana, did you want to say something as well? I
13	thought I saw your hand up.
14	MS. HEWITT: Sorry, no. Thank you, ma'am.
15	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. All right, any
16	further questions from members? So Deena, I do have
17	a question for you. Did I hear you correctly, did
18	you say you're going to be submitting maps and you
19	will be sharing data? Or I didn't hear you
20	correctly. Or did I hear you correctly or not?
21	Deena?
22	REPRESENTATIVE WILLIS: I don't see Deena on any
23	more, Lisa.
24	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: I've got her on here.

1	MS. HABBAL: I'm sorry. My Internet connection									
2	is terrible, so it's hard to hear sometimes. Can you									
3	repeat the question?									
4	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Sure. I thought I heard									
5	you earlier you are submitting maps and you will									
6	submitting data or not?									
7	MS. HABBAL: Yes, so we created some maps just									
8	showing, from what I understand, I'll have to double									
9	check with my team members, but we created some maps									
10	of just showing like where we are in Illinois based									
11	on community centers, mosques, halal stores,									
12	restaurants and just to get an idea about, you know,									
13	which communities have like big populations of									
14	Muslims.									
15	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay.									
16	MS. HABBAL: But we will send an e-mail with									
17	everything included and with more clarification.									
18	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, sounds good. Okay,									
19	I think that's it. I just want to double check. I									
20	want to make sure I did not miss any witnesses. So									
21	this is your last chance. And if so, one more time,									
22	members, any questions before I recess? Okay. Well,									
23	more conversation, good conversation. But since									
24	there's no further questions, I will recess to the									

										Fage 50
1	call	of	the	chair.	See	you	next	time.	Take	care.
2						* *	*			
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS
 1
                         )
                          ) SS.
 2
    CITY OF SPRINGFIELD )
 3
 4
             I, LYDIA B. PINKAWA, a Certified Shorthand
 5
     Reporter, do hereby certify that the meeting
 6
     aforementioned was held at the time previously
 7
     described.
 8
             IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
 9
    hand and seal.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	_	_	_	_
A	advocated	Annexations	assume 33:2	30:20 33:1
a.m 1:6,7	22:11 46:20,21	15:23	assured 25:12	36:9 47:15,21
ability 43:5	47:9	announced 4:7	attend 23:11	48:11
48:8	advocates	annual 19:3	30:18	believes 30:23
	29:20	answer 23:21	attention 10:2	belong 26:18
able 5:4 6:22	advocating	answers 45:4	19:20	benefit 26:2
7:1 19:22 36:4	45:6 47:11	apart 21:9	attorney 37:17	Bensenville
41:9	afforded 14:4	appeal 24:22	38:1	8:14
absolutely 24:2	aforemention	appears 24:8	August 37:8	best 33:22
accepting 18:20	51:6	appears 24.0 appoint 46:5	available 5:8,17	better 29:1
accessible	African 11:13	47:17,20	25:15 28:1	34:21
29:10				
account 3:15	12:10	appointed 15:2	29:1 33:19	beyond 34:18
8:11	African-Ameri	appreciate 7:10	47:3	big 44:1 49:13
accountability	12:9 19:13,18	21:16 22:22	avenues 3:22	biggest 44:1
25:20 43:1	ago 32:13 40:16	43:23,24	Avery 2:4 40:21	bilingual 9:5
accountable	40:18	appropriate	avoid 39:12,15	bill 27:16 28:5,5
30:21 45:11	agree 15:6,12	28:23	aware 23:15	bit 12:22 13:3
accurate 41:8	38:8 42:6	approval 15:5	Azam 8:2 21:21	20:19 34:12
accurately	ahead 13:16	approximately	21:21	40:1 48:5
22:16	19:5 26:16	14:9	В	board 25:8
acknowledgm	31:17 38:24	April 1:6 23:6		boundaries 3:14
6:3	39:16 44:12	31:10 42:17	B 51:4	4:22,23,24
ACS 24:11,17	Alaris 2:23	Arab 12:10,13	back 13:3 25:1	5:2 16:10,20
36:21	alike 17:9	21:3	31:23 37:10,14	16:24
act 4:13,14 17:15	allies 10:21	Arabs 32:17	39:14 40:19	Bourne 2:4
17:22 25:21	allow 21:15	area 11:23 17:7	41:16 42:12	38:19,21 39:1
28:16,16 34:18	24:16 28:6,11	39:2 40:11	43:13 46:23	40:22
34:20 35:7,8	allowed 27:21	areas 15:24 19:7	47:8	brief 5:22 7:13
35:12 42:24	allowing 7:7	40:6	background	13:19
Action 22:6	20:23 40:13	arrangements	13:21	briefly 6:2 13:21
add 24:4	43:21	34:7	backgrounds	40:15
addiction 30:10	allows 5:10	Asian 9:9 11:2	9:22 10:22	bring 10:2
addition 18:14	8:20 18:8	12:10 19:15,19	backup 28:2,12	brings 18:6
additional 3:21	21:13	21:2	bad 44:5	Bureau 18:24
20:124:4	alongside 22:9	Asian-Americ	ballot 42:16	28:22
25:10 42:18	alternatively	9:13	Barickman 48:2	Bureau's 19:3
additionally	4:22	asking 7:19	based 14:15	business 21:5
28:21 43:3	amendment	39:13 45:19	23:3 26:21	Butler 2:4 6:3,5
address 18:18	27:12 41:17,23	aspects 12:5	32:15 36:13,14	6:10,14,18 10:8
adjacent 16:2	42:2,20 47:23	assembly 3:13	49:10	10:11 31:5,6,14
adjustments	amendments	24:22 27:16	basic 23:22	31:17,21 32:1
28:11,24	41:21 42:4,7,11	28:2,22 41:22	basically 37:2	32:24 34:8
Advancing 11:3	43:15	41:24 45:16,17	basis 17:19	36:9,18 38:8
advantage 30:6	American 12:8	46:3,4,22	beginning	44:9,13,18,24
advantage 30.0	12:14 24:10	47:17,20	33:10,16 40:14	45:7 46:17
44:23	Americans 11:2	assembly's	believe 7:11	47:2,13 48:11
44.2J	ample 23:11	28:14	12:20 29:23	buy 43:19
			l	

	20:13 21:20	3:12	38:13,15 45:19	16:5,8,9,12,14
	21:23 26:24	citizens 30:13	45:21,24 46:5	comparison 4:8
C 2:1	29:14 31:2	41:19 44:5	46:5,12,19,23	competition
C-i-m-a 13:1	38:19 39:16	46:21	47:3,8,21	30:1
20:15	40:20,23	CITY 51:2	commissions	competitive
California 25:6	40.20,23	Civic 8:1,3,4,4	29:22	30:24
26:3	48:15,24 49:4		committee 1:2	
call 6:9 21:22	'	10:13,16 20:17 20:17	2:2 3:1,4,20	complete 27:24 41:7
50:1	49:15,18	clarification		
called 16:16,18	challenger	49:17	4:9 5:12,14,17	compliance
calls 4:2	36:17		6:15 10:17 18:20 20:5,6	18:11 26:6
candidate 18:4	challenges 26:16	clarify 46:15,18 class 12:4 21:9		35:5,8 36:10
18:7 36:14			22:2 23:3,7 23:24 26:15	comply 37:9
candidates 26:1	challenging 23:21	classes 10:22 clear 11:8 25:23	27:4	complying 37:12
capita 12:16		26:22 36:22	committee's	••••
captured 20:12	Champaign 19:10		5:19	component 42:6
care 50:1	chance 49:21	clearly 23:18 closed 34:15	committees	42.6 components
case 10:5	change 8:5 11:2	47:5	22:21	42:20
cast 24:5	21:7,24 22:5,6	closing 30:23	common 9:11	concentrated
census 6:23	22:9 32:8	clump 32:21	commonly 4:19	17:7
11:6,6,17,18	33:2 37:20,21	co-president	communication	concentration
18:24 19:1,3,5	38:11 41:11,14	27:7	35:17	17:23
23:13 24:24	44:13 45:9,10	co-sponsored	communities	concerned
27:24 28:22	46:13 47:11,22	45:18 47:14	4:1 8:13 11:9,13	30:2
32:9 37:6	48:9	co-sponsors	11:13 12:1,8,12	concerning
38:6 41:4,12	changed 37:5,6	45:13	16:1 17:5 19:8	36:8
43:6	changes 14:13	coalition 8:1,3,4	22:17 23:10	concerns 4:21
center 16:12 centers 49:11	41:23	10:13,16,20	25:22 26:8	22:24
central 16:11	changing 20:19	11:5 18:1,6	27:18 29:2	conducted
certain 39:7	37:11,16	20:17,17 22:9	32:10 33:24	26:13 29:5
40:6	chapter 29:17	colleague 11:21	49:13	30:16,17
Certified 51:4	chapters 29:20	color 26:6,9	community 4:17	Conference
certify 51:5	characteristics	come 3:2 9:2	4:20 8:17,24	24:20
cetera 21:5	4:17 39:5,10	18:11 20:24	9:13 10:4 11:10	confounding
chair 6:6 7:3	check 49:9,19	21:16 33:11,20	11:10,15 12:5	24:14
10:17 18:18	Chicago 8:15	36:22 38:5	17:6,11 22:18	Congress 14:5
19:21 22:1	25:7	comes 9:5 37:7	23:19 24:9,10	congressional
27:3 31:6	chief 42:1	38:6	26:22 27:14	14:8,12 15:17
38:17 41:1 44:9	children 20:21	comfort 5:5	28:19 32:13,15	16:2
50:1	choice 18:4,7	coming 6:23	32:19 33:9,14	connect 12:4
chairing 3:4	24:10	19:20	33:21 35:13	connected
Chairperson	choose 15:9	comment 5:14	40:9 49:11	15:23 16:3
2:3 3:1 6:8,13	chosen 3:9	comments 5:16	community's	connection 49:1
6:17 7:16 10:7	Cima 8:3 11:21	commission	9:6 16:21	consider 12:5
10:12,19 13:2,5	12:21,24 13:2	14:24 15:1,4,6	compact 16:6	18:1 28:23
13:10,14 20:8	20:9,15	15:11,12 27:15	16:24	43:8
13.10,14 20.0	circumstances	28:2,12 34:20	compactness	consideration

5:12	Costa 2:7 3:7	Dairanieh 8:3	delay 24:23	18:3,6,10 20:4
considered	42:1 45:12	12:23 13:4,9	delayed 19:1	35:10,10,12,13
32:17,18	count 41:8	13:13 20:11,15	deliver 44:5	35:21 36:17
considering	counties 10:23	20:16	democracy	39:22 40:5,8
29:9 45:3	15:24 19:10	dark 43:17	24:16 25:13	district's 16:9,11
consist 15:1	22:13	data 6:21,23,24	29:19	districting 8:18
consolidation	country 41:7	7:9 11:6,21 14:1	Democrats 3:16	districts 5:11
30:10	county 8:7	17:2 18:23	demographic	8:12 9:15,21
constitute 15:5	16:23 19:16	19:2 24:7,11,11	4:15	14:9,12 15:15
constitution	29:18 40:6	24:17,24	Demographic	15:17,18,21
4:12,13 14:6,15	county's 19:17	27:24 28:22	19:11	16:2,16,17,18
14:16 27:13	course 45:5	28:24 31:21	demographics	16:18 17:18,24
37:9,13,19	court 2:22 14:7	32:9,12 34:23	9:7	18:1,2,2,8
46:1,19 47:8	15:7 16:7 25:4	36:11,21 37:6	deployed 41:9	25:24 26:3,17
constitutional	43:11	37:8 38:4,6,6	described 51:7	36:1 40:2,5
14:22 18:12	courts 24:23	39:4 43:6	deserve 21:18	diverse 3:24
24:23 41:17,21	38:3 43:5	48:19 49:6	desire 5:23	11:19 12:2 21:2
41:23 42:2,10	covered 18:22	date 24:6 25:2	Despite 22:14	21:4 22:10,17
43:15 47:23	22:13	28:1	detail 23:1	diversity 12:7
constitutionali	create 25:3	dates 29:7	devised 25:17	40:4
37:22	41:20 42:4	Dave 2:5	Diana 48:12	dividing 17:23
constitutionally	created 25:24	day 1:6 35:15	Diane 7:23 8:7	doing 7:8 10:22
28:3 34:19	26:3,20 35:12	days 34:3 36:3	10:7 39:16	23:14,20
38:12	35:13 49:7,9	deadline 14:22	different 9:22	33:22 34:2
constitutions	creating 14:23	34:17 37:3,12	16:5 21:10	43:16 46:7
3:13	15:15 18:1	38:11	32:12 42:4	dollars 23:12
contiguous	35:21	deadlines	44:3	Donna 8:6
15:21 17:1	criteria 11:14	24:24 25:4	difficult 16:4	29:15,16 31:2
continue 20:10	16:8	34:18	34:2 39:21	Donnell 13:16,18
21:21 22:24	critical 11:1 12:5	deal 24:6	director 22:5	doors 34:15
26:10 43:6	crossover 18:1,3	decade 19:7	disagree 47:7	47:5
44:4,23 45:5	crucial 28:4	27:11	disappoints	Dorner 8:5 27:1
contributing	CSR 2:22,23	decennial 6:23	48:5	27:3,6 37:1,14
17:11	culturally 17:8	19:1 37:6	discern 35:9	40:15
contributions	current 4:22,23	decide 42:16	discontiguous	double 49:8,19
21:4	20:22 30:12	decline 19:6,12	16:1	Downers 40:9
control 31:1	currently 10:22	19:14,18	discuss 13:21	draw 5:11 6:22
conversation	23:14 34:16	dedicated 3:16	18:23	7:1,7 20:3
49:23,23	Curtis 2:3	dedication 41:6	discussed 29:6	24:16 28:3,22
core 16:11	cut 21:14	Deena 7:24	discussions	30:3 31:22
correct 6:8		10:13,14 12:23	44:19 48:4	34:24 35:1
12:21 31:10	D	20:18 48:16,21	display 18:18	36:21 37:10,18
37:13	D-a-i-r-a-n-i-e-h	48:22	distribution 9:18	42:13 47:5
correctly 8:2	20:16	defer 38:12	40:4,7,12	drawers 25:3
48:17,20,20	D-e-e-n-a 10:15	define 16:4	district 8:16,17	drawing 4:24
corruption	D-o-n-n-a 29:17	definition 32:3	8:19 9:4 15:22	5:1,7,8 20:2
30:12	D-o-r-n-e-r 27:6	definitive 45:4	16:6,10 17:9	24:9,12,18
				2

			1	
42:8 43:16	elect 18:4,7	ethics 22:8	faith 12:2,4	form 25:13
drawn 25:9,11	elected 24:24	ethnically 17:8	30:14	forward 24:8
26:14 34:15,21	26:5 42:14	ethnicities 10:21	faiths 10:22	41:14 42:5
39:9,14 48:10	election 18:9	everybody 7:17	fall 19:2	44:3
drive 45:10	25:1,4	10:9 34:13	family 11:19	fostering 29:24
47:22 48:8	elections 22:8	evidence 28:15	20:20	foundation
dropping 43:18	30:24	examine 30:7	far 3:21 40:16	25:13
drug 30:9	electoral 17:17	example 8:17	48:6	four 3:19 15:3
DuPAGE 1:2	Eleventh 2:24	9:20 21:7	favor 36:15	27:19 38:15
7:24 8:7,12	Elizabeth 2:3	39:18 40:5,9	feature 42:11	47:17,18,18
9:8,20 19:16	Elmhurst 27:8	40:10	federal 4:12	48:7
20:18,20	29:12 40:16,18	excited 10:3	13:23 14:5	fraction 23:14
29:18	employee 13:18	excuse 13:2	15:20 17:13,15	fracturing 17:23
	enable 29:3	exercise 7:7	18:12 25:21	full 37:6 41:7
E	encourage 4:2	exist 4:21 11:9	28:15 35:6,10	full-time 34:1
E 2:1,1	44:2	25:14	42:24	fully 29:21
e-mail 5:14	endeavor 4:11	existing 16:20	feedback 35:20	Fund 22:6
18:18 31:20,24	enforcement	expect 26:18	feel 7:8	fundamental
49:16	30:10	26:20 32:22	felt 33:15	43:20
e-mailing 20:6	engage 20:2	expectations	filing 25:2,4	funded 7:11
e-mails 8:24	22:7	26:23	fill 11:17	further 20:4
earlier 42:21	English 9:1 26:9	expected 19:15	filled 11:18 43:17	48:16 49:24
44:16 49:5	ensure 22:16	experience	final 15:5 28:10	future 30:23
easier 40:1	23:12,15 25:2	12:22	28:15 36:6	
easily 33:18	25:20	experiences	Finally 13:24	G
echo 20:18	ensures 14:3	21:17	find 5:9 19:22	gain 3:23
economic 4:16	18:14 28:17	expert 32:6,23	fine 10:11	gains 19:8
4:19 9:22	ensuring 10:24	explain 24:1	finish 31:13	general 3:13
30:11	29:23	explaining 26:7	first 5:3 11:18,24	22:14 24:22
economically	envision 34:16	explicit 38:10	13:1 15:16	27:16 28:2,14
17:8	34:24	expressed	38:22,23	28:21 30:17
editorial 25:7	equal 14:4,10	45:18	firsthand 11:19	40:11 41:22,24
educate 22:7	15:16,18,19	extremely 8:19	fit 11:14	45:16,17 46:3
educators 21:4	equally 17:17	9:1,5,23 10:6	five 15:4,11 21:8	46:4,22 47:17
effect 14:21	equitable 21:15		21:10	47:20
effective 28:17	24:15 29:11	F	focus 10:23	generally 44:20
39:9	equity 8:11	face 26:10	24:6	generation
effectively 17:10	ESL 9:11	fact 9:17 12:18	focused 27:12	20:19
efficiency 22:8	especially 41:11	43:10	39:3	generations
effort 22:22	46:2	factors 17:10	focuses 16:9	21:6
41:19	essence 39:22	failed 43:22	follow 16:20	geographic
efforts 27:12	essential 30:14	failure 41:18	29:22 44:10	16:24 17:7
41:17	establishing	fair 23:8 24:14	45:8	23:3
eight 15:1	14:10	30:24 32:16	following 5:22	geographical
either 24:15	estimate 19:4,5	32:20 40:4	41:18	16:20
34:17 38:10	et 21:5	42:20 46:6	forget 8:8	geography
45:17 47:4	ethical 30:21	fairly 39:9	forgot 40:14	16:13
	I	l		

a attin a 11.16 17	graph 12:15	42.19 46.0	2.1 2 6.0 12 17	Llowerd 2.7
getting 11:16,17	graph 12:15	42:18 46:9	3:1,3 6:8,13,17	Howard 2:7
33:23 35:23	grass 29:19	47:6	7:16 10:7,12,19	45:12
36:2 42:15	30:18	happening	13:2,5,10,14	Howard's 42:2
give 13:19 23:10	gravity 16:12	11:22 39:15	18:19 20:8,13	hundreds 4:2
30:5,16	great 8:16 24:6	hard 49:2	21:20,23 22:1	husband 11:16
given 4:4 29:7	33:6,16 41:9	harm 26:2	26:24 27:3	
gives 14:16	42:20	36:16	29:14 31:2	
giving 7:5	greater 29:6	hate 40:19	38:19 39:16	idea 38:10
glad 6:19 45:11	ground 16:13	HB 42:19	40:20,23	49:12
go 10:9 13:3,16	group 17:6 18:5	HDS.ILGA.gov	44:6,12 48:11	ideals 35:6
14:21 21:23	18:8 29:19	20:6	48:15,24 49:4	42:21
31:17 34:23	30:18	health 30:9	49:15,18	ideas 18:20
35:3 36:4	groups 4:20	hear 3:23 4:18	Hewitt 7:23 8:8	identifies 12:19
37:10,14 38:3	12:2 17:21 18:4	4:24 9:10 10:3	8:10 39:18	16:7
38:21,23	18:7 23:19	48:17,19,20	48:14	identify 12:9,9
39:16 40:19	30:6,9 32:8	49:2	hey 33:19	17:5
41:14 42:16	Grove 40:9	heard 9:24	Hi 10:14	II 2:3
43:5 44:12	grown 11:12	17:10 34:11	high 21:8 40:8	ILGA 28:4,11
47:15	growth 19:16	45:4 49:4	40:12	ILGA.gov 5:17
goal 3:22	guarantee	hearing 1:5 3:5	highest 41:6	19:23
goes 36:15	24:14 30:4	3:9 6:6,9,15	highlight 9:16	ILHouseDem
going 6:20 7:3	guaranteed	23:7 28:10	12:3 36:19	5:18 19:24
7:14 8:10 10:18	14:6	29:7,8 33:14	hindered 16:13	Illinois 1:1 2:23
11:20,22 12:21	guess 6:12 7:17	34:4,12,22	Hirschauer's	3:13 4:13,13
13:10,23,23	guided 4:11	35:14	9:4	5:3,10 7:24
21:8 25:15	guidelines	hearings 3:15	Hispanic 19:14	8:2,5 10:16,23
31:3 34:15	13:24 29:22	3:20 4:3,6,7,9	19:19	11:2,8 12:8,15
35:20 37:15	guiding 15:16	5:6,19 6:11	HJRCA 42:1	12:19 13:18,22
38:10 44:10	guys 46:10,13	7:14 17:3 18:15	hmm 10:19	14:4,15,16 15:7
45:18,19 46:8	48:3,7	19:23 22:13,15	hold 3:21 20:9	15:9 16:15
46:9 47:4,6	40.3,7	22:23 23:3,9	27:18	17:20,21 19:15
48:18	Н	23:16 24:4	holding 4:5 17:2	20:17 21:24
good 12:23	H-a-b-b-a-l	25:16,19 26:13	23:9 45:11	22:5,6,9,14
22:18 23:19	10:15	27:19 28:9		23:23 24:22
	Habbal 7:24		holds 46:2	25:23 26:16
35:19 49:18	10:13,14,20	29:5 30:15	homes 5:5	27:6,9,12,16
49:23	21:22 31:7,12	33:2,5,13,24	honestly 45:10	28:2,16,21
Gordon-Booth	31:15,18,23	34:9 36:23,23	hope 8:1	30:13,15,20
2:5	32:6 49:1,7,16	42:22,23	hoping 34:13	32:8,11 35:7
government	halal 49:11	held 1:5 4:9,20	hours 1:6	35:12 41:5,11
22:18 23:18,19		14:7 28:9 51:6	house 1:1 3:1,16	42:13 49:10
30:15,21	half 22:13	help 12:20 18:5	4:9 13:18 14:18	
governments	39:20 40:16	19:4 35:1 45:9	16:16 22:20	51:1
22:8	hand 12:21	helpful 9:2,5	22:22 23:7	Illinois' 18:24
governor 14:20	48:13 51:10	10:6 33:17	26:15 27:4	26:17 41:14
graduating 21:9	hands 26:17	helps 17:22	28:5 33:4	IllinoisHouse
grant 24:23	42:13	hereunto 51:9	40:17 41:22	5:9
granted 45:22	happen 7:3	Hernandez 2:3	housing 9:19	immigrant 11:13

12:10	25:12 26:20	joining 3:7 32:8	laps 43:18	43:12
impediments	27:14,21 28:6	41:2	large 18:3	lens 30:7
26:11	30:14 35:13	joint 41:22	largest 12:16	let's 6:17 8:7
imperative	39:13	judiciary 37:15	19:9	18:23 35:19
26:12 29:4	inside 16:17	37:21	late 37:8	letting 21:16
implicit 38:10	insight 3:10	July 37:11	Latino 8:13,19	33:9
important 3:10	insufficient	June 14:22	9:8 12:10	Limper 8:6
30:19	23:19	34:17 37:3	law 3:19 15:20	29:15,16,17
impressed 8:22	intended 24:11	38:9 46:9	17:4,13,20	lines 30:4
inappropriate	24:18	Justice 11:3	18:10,12,14	linguistic 27:17
37:17	intends 3:21		24:13 25:1,23	28:18 29:1
included 8:18	intention 24:8	K	25:24 30:10	Lisa 3:3 48:23
43:10 49:17	intentionally	Kane 19:10	lawsuit 37:20	listen 17:3 47:2
including 5:5	30:3	Karina 8:23	lawyers 21:5	literally 45:16
20:2 30:8	interest 4:20	Kathleen 2:7	leader 6:3	Litigation 2:23
inclusive 11:8	17:5,6,11	keep 7:13 39:6	29:18 31:4	little 11:21 12:22
income 9:17,19	25:22 29:2	keeping 32:14	48:11	13:3 34:12
40:4,6,8,8,12	43:8	Kendall 19:10	leaders 15:2	40:1 48:5
incorporating	interested 4:14	key 41:4 42:6	46:3 47:17,20	live 9:14 39:2
27:21 32:10	6:21,24 39:14	kind 20:23	48:7	45:10
increase 19:9,17	interests 4:19	21:14 32:3	League 8:6	lived 21:6
19:19 26:4	16:22	know 6:18 7:4,9	27:2,7,9 29:12	living 34:2
incredibly 30:18	Internet 49:1	12:11 16:22	learned 41:5	local 30:6,7
incumbents	interpretation	23:2 32:10,20	led 40:17 41:19	33:14,21 39:2
26:1 36:14	16:14	33:8,9,10,11,12	46:21	39:5,10,21
independent	intersectionali	33:16,17,18,22	left 24:9 44:1	Locally 19:16
22:11 26:18	21:18	34:5 35:4,9,19	legal 15:15	long 10:5 22:11
27:14 29:21	introduce 7:20	36:1,16 37:7	legally 45:20	35:4
41:18	41:20	38:1 39:2,4,14	legislation 28:4	longer 32:14
independently	introduction	39:22 40:1	28:8 29:9	look 9:7 15:15
22:20	28:10	42:14,17 43:4	41:20 42:12,19	16:19 17:4 36:1
indicated 5:23	invisible 12:12	45:3 47:14	45:14,14 47:15	36:4 39:7
indication 23:5	involved 35:1	48:4,6 49:12	47:24 48:1	looked 9:13
Indivisible 7:24	41:11	knowing 6:21	legislative 14:8	looking 13:6 14:1
industry 30:1	involves 16:14	6:24 12:18	14:14,17,24	41:16 43:4
influence 18:2,8	issues 30:11	32:21	15:2 16:18	45:3
18:8		known 17:5	24:12,12 39:11	looks 21:10 23:6
inform 19:4	J	knows 39:24	39:13 42:5,13	lot 6:19 9:21,24
information	J 2:3		47:18	35:5,16 39:2,4
3:24 4:15 11:4	J-a-n 27:6		legislator 12:19	42:3,19,22
26:12 29:4	Jackson 13:16	L-i-m-p-e-r	legislators 11:5	44:21
33:17,23	13:17	29:17	24:21 42:7	low 9:19
inherently 39:11	Jan 27:6 29:14	lack 22:24	45:11	Lydia 2:22 51:4
initial 14:17	Janice 8:5 27:1	ladies 38:23	legislature 14:5	
28:23	Jehan 2:5	language 9:11	14:16,23 27:18	M
input 10:3,6	jobs 34:1	17:21 26:10	28:7 34:18	ma'am 48:14
23:24 25:10	joined 17:9	41:24	37:3,10 38:9	Madam 6:5 7:3
	I	l	I	Ι

10:17 31:6	43:17 46:6	28:18 29:2	22:15	objective 43:14
38:17 40:24	47:5 48:18	minority 17:14,16	need 7:13,18	obviously 6:23
44:9	49:5,7,9	17:21,22 18:4	9:13 20:11	7:11 8:14 33:3
main 9:15 10:1	Marissa 13:17	18:6 27:17	22:21 23:23	48:3
major 42:11	matches 36:5	missing 43:13	23:24 24:2,3	occur 13:7
majority 7:1	material 37:18	mistakes 44:4	25:19,20,23	October 15:13
14:19 15:3 18:5	matter 23:1	mixed 37:23	32:12 38:7	offers 20:1
18:9 26:5	Maura 9:4	Mm 10:19	needed 26:6	offices 21:1
45:15,16 47:5	maximize 16:21	modest 19:17	needs 9:6,24	officials 24:24
makers 16:19	mean 9:2 15:24	modifying 37:16	11:11 26:21	42:14
17:4,24 24:13	32:17 34:11	Monroe 19:10	neighborhoods	oftentimes
	37:10 44:20		11:22	
25:23		month 4:6 36:2	-	12:12
makeup 21:9	45:24 47:22	months 19:2	nests 16:16	okay 6:10,14,18
making 4:1 8:10	means 15:21	morning 12:24	never 24:18	7:16 10:7,8,10
37:21 41:7	meant 36:21	mosques 49:11	new 4:24 5:2	10:18 13:9,13
mandated	measure 16:6	move 10:12 27:1	12:17 14:17,21	20:8 21:23
38:13	meet 26:7,15	40:21	14:23 15:5,15	26:24 29:14
manner 45:23	26:22 37:19	multiple 18:6	28:24	31:2,14,21 32:1
46:2	44:16	municipalities	night 29:8	34:8 40:20,21
map 14:18,21,23	meeting 9:6	15:24	nine 15:11 26:5	44:6 46:15,16
15:5,7 16:19	51:5	municipality	39:23	48:15 49:15,18
17:24 23:5,8	meetings 11:4	16:23	ninth 15:10	49:18,22
24:1,2,9,18	meets 28:15	Muslim 8:1,2,4	Nizamuddin 8:2	omitted 13:5
25:3,16 26:16	member 2:4,5,5	10:13,16 12:1,8	Nodding 40:22	once 38:6
28:10,12,15	2:6 3:3 15:10	12:9,19 20:17	46:17	open 31:3
34:10,24 36:2	members 2:2	Muslims 12:16	Non-English	operate 43:20
38:5,7 39:8	10:17 15:1,4,11	49:14	26:10	opportunities
44:5,14,17	22:2 24:9		non-political	17:16 23:15
46:8 48:9	26:5 27:4 31:4	<u> </u>	46:1	opportunity 4:4
mapping 10:4	38:18 42:22	N 2:1	non-profits 22:7	7:5 16:21 22:3
maps 5:7,11,16	44:8 46:4,11	name 7:20 8:9	nonpartisan	24:3 27:5
6:22 7:1,3,7,12	47:18,18,19	10:14,15 12:24	22:6 47:9	29:12 36:7
14:15 20:4	48:16 49:9,22	13:1,1,17 15:10	North 2:24	options 24:13
22:16 24:5,7	mental 30:9	20:12,14,15	northern 8:12	24:15,19 43:4
24:13,15,17	mentioned	22:3,4 29:16	9:14	oral 6:2
25:3,9,12,14	19:22 34:9	45:10	noted 42:15	order 3:2 8:7
25:20 26:4,7	37:2	names 7:23	45:13	15:14 30:4
26:13,20	met 35:11 44:14	15:8	notice 1:5 22:23	37:19
27:21 28:3,23	48:3,5,6	nation 12:1,17	23:18 29:6,8	Oregon 25:5
28:24 30:7	Michigan 12:17	29:20	30:16 33:11	organization
31:9,12,22	mid 37:7	national 9:9	notify 23:20	12:3 46:2,7
34:14,21 35:2	millions 23:12	24:20	34:6	organizations
36:4,11,13,22	mind 32:14	native 12:14	number 5:23	11:3 22:10,18
37:3,5,11,18	44:11 47:4	natural 16:23	22:17 26:5	23:20 33:10
38:4,9,11 39:11	minimum 3:19	NCSL 41:5	28:9 41:15	organizer 11:16
39:13 41:18	27:19	near 46:20	20.3 41.13	origin 9:9
42:9,14,20	minorities 17:23	nearly 15:17	0	outcome 18:9
72.3,17,20				

[
32:22	38:9	point 29:7	presentation	30:22 32:2,4
outreach 23:10	passing 14:17	30:20 45:8	5:22 6:2 13:7	33:15,20
23:23	27:19	47:16	13:12 18:17	34:16 39:3
outside 46:22	people 3:23 7:1	points 16:3	31:8 33:15	42:8 43:2,6
overall 19:6,14	7:8 11:17 17:6	policies 10:24	presented	44:15,17 46:6
overview 13:20	23:2,11,15,23	policy 22:5	24:13	46:21 47:9,11
owners 21:5	24:1 25:22	political 3:14	presenting 41:2	processes
	26:6,18 32:16	4:16,19 5:2	43:18	38:15
P	33:19,23 34:1	15:3 16:20	preservation	produce 24:7
P 2:1,1	34:1,6 39:21	29:24 30:5	28:19	produced 26:4
panel 7:22	46:5 47:20	36:10,11,14,17	pressure 46:11	project 19:7
parallel 46:24	percent 9:8,9	45:23 47:16	47:16,19	projected 19:12
parents 20:20	39:23	politicians 30:3	pretend 38:5	19:16
part 3:16 23:20	percentage	42:8 43:16,21	prevent 17:15	pronouncing
33:9	19:9	politics 26:21	17:22	8:1
participants	perfect 34:5	30:1	previous 39:8	proposals 23:5
35:14	period 4:4 25:2	population 3:15	previously	24:1,2 25:16
participate 3:9	perks 32:18	8:19 9:8 12:16	45:23 51:6	25:17 34:10
4:4 5:4 7:5	person 4:5 14:11	14:2,10,13	primary 25:1	proposed
17:17 23:16	personally 21:7	15:17,18,19	26:9 43:13,14	27:22 28:15
29:3	31:24	16:11 17:2 18:10	principle 14:10	proposing 4:7
participating	perspective	18:24 19:3,5,6	43:20	protecting
26:11	9:18 41:14	19:8,9,12,15,17	principles 15:16	27:16
participation	petition 25:2	19:18,19 39:23	17:14 47:21	protection
3:10,17,22 4:2	41:19 43:11	populations	Prior 27:15	28:19
5:6 18:15	phone 4:2	9:12 17:17	prioritize 25:21	protects 17:20
22:14 23:13	pie 12:13 20:22	19:13 49:13	prioritizing 26:1	25:22
33:5,6	piece 11:1	portal 5:8 20:3	26:8 35:6,7	provide 27:13
particular 8:14	Pinkawa 2:22	possible 3:23	42:23	28:5
8:15 17:11	51:4	3:24 16:19	pro 29:19	provided 18:19
39:18	pivoted 41:20	47:1	probably 33:2	26:12 29:5
particularly	place 17:15 23:7	post 22:22	34:14 37:7	44:22
33:10 34:4	34:19	Posting 23:18	problematic	provides 28:18
45:9	places 9:19	potential 30:2	9:23	providing 22:2
partner 11:3	plagued 30:13	power 26:17	problems 30:8	29:11
partners 10:21	plan 4:8 6:10,14	42:12	procedure	public 3:17,20
21:13 22:10	7:10,12 14:19	PowerPoint	13:20	3:22 5:8,13,14
41:4,10	15:12	31:8	process 3:11,16	5:16 6:22 7:5
parts 15:21	plans 17:13	practice 16:12	3:18 5:4 11:7	17:3 18:15,15
party 15:3,8	27:20	precedent 16:7	12:6 13:22	20:2,24 21:8
26:1 29:24	play 18:11 38:1	precinct 39:20	14:3 15:14	22:15 24:4,5
30:5 47:5	plays 30:22	precincts 39:19	17:18 18:23	25:10,12,15,18
pass 14:19 25:1	please 7:20 8:8	preliminary 14:1	20:2 22:12	25:19 27:19,21
28:4 37:4	20:10	prepared 5:24	23:6 24:14	28:6,8,9,13
passed 27:16	plenty 30:16	31:9,15,18	26:11,19 27:5	29:3 30:14,16
37:3,20	pocket 40:12	present 2:2	27:11,14,23	30:17 33:4,6
passes 14:23	pockets 8:13	3:21	28:7 29:4,10	34:20 35:1,9
	Ι.	I	Í Í	Í Í

35:17,23 36:3	re-map 42:17	5:15	30:2,23	resident 5:10
36:7 45:18	44:21	redraw 3:14	representation	residents 5:4
47:19	re-spell 20:11	14:11	8:21 9:3,22	14:4 17:4
publicly 5:17	read 7:23	redrawing 14:14	14:4 17:14,20	20:20 23:21
7:11	ready 13:14	redrawn 17:18	21:3,14,15	33:9 42:13
purpose 25:18	real 38:6,7	reduction 17:16	28:17 29:23	resolution 41:22
pursuant 1:5	40:15 44:10	reflect 14:13	30:8 32:16,21	resources 41:6
pursued 24:19	48:8	22:16	representative	41:8
25:5	realities 16:13	reflected 23:4	2:3,3,4,4,5,5	respond 23:24
push 24:8 25:1	really 7:2 8:16	25:12 34:10,14	2:6,7,7 3:3,6,6	39:17
43:12	8:20,22 9:2	reforms 27:10	6:5,10,14,18	responds 26:19
pushed 24:17	9:15 10:2,5	refugee 11:10	10:11 16:17 31:6	response 35:18
35:4	20:23 21:18	refugees 32:20	31:14,17,21	responsibility
put 30:24 47:16	35:8 36:19,20	regarding 4:21	32:1,24 34:8	14:17,22
47:19	37:22 40:3	regardless	36:9,18 38:8	restaurants
puts 26:17	41:1 46:10,13	29:24	38:19,20,21	49:12
putting 35:16	46:14	regards 10:4	38:23 39:1	restore 30:14
42:12 46:11	received 29:8	region 14:2	40:10,20,22	result 14:11 23:8
72.12 70.11	33:12 44:24	regions 23:4	40:23,24 42:1	results 26:4
Q	recess 6:9	related 46:24	43:9 44:7,9,13	36:6
question 6:6	49:22,24	release 19:1	44:18,24 45:7	resume 13:11
31:7 33:7 37:1	recessed 6:6,11	relevant 4:15	45:8,12,13	20:9
39:1 48:17	recognize 3:6	5:1 28:13	46:16,17 47:2	reveals 14:1
49:3	recommendat	relief 43:5,11	47:13 48:22	review 30:14
questions 10:9	43:10,24	45:20	representatives	right 6:22 10:12
23:22 25:10	recommends	religious 4:16,19	1:1 13:19 22:19	13:11 18:17
25:18 31:4,4	11:5	12:3	24:20	32:11 34:24
33:12 38:18	record 46:11	religiously 17:8	represented	38:4 42:10
44:7 48:16	recorded 7:19	remap 28:14	16:22 20:24	44:12 45:11
49:22,24	records 28:13	remapping 27:5	21:19 23:17	48:2,15
quick 44:10	redistricting 1:2	remarks 21:21	39:6	rights 4:12,14
quite 8:12 20:19	3:2,4,11,18 4:8	31:3	reps 40:17	17:15,22 25:21
	4:9 5:10,18	remind 7:17,19	Republican 2:4	27:17 28:16,16
R	6:20 11:1,7	remote 5:6	request 7:2	35:7,8,10,12
R 2:1	12:6,20 13:20	rep 39:24 40:17	require 3:13	42:24
R-y-a-n 22:4	13:21,22,24	repeat 44:4	28:8	risk 24:15
race 12:4 17:19	14:3,24 17:13	49:3	required 28:3	robust 23:9
races 10:21	18:13,22 19:24	repeatedly	37:4,4	Rohingya 11:10
racial 17:21 18:6	20:1,6,23	24:21	requirement	roll 6:7,9
27:17 28:17	21:13 22:12	report 26:6	16:4 35:11	room 39:14
29:1	23:16 27:4,10	35:5,8	requirements	43:17
raises 25:18	27:15,20 28:7	reported 25:8	13:24 18:11,13	roots 29:19
raising 25:9	28:8 29:3,10	Reporter 2:22	37:16	30:18
random 15:10	29:21 30:3,15	51:5	requires 3:19	ruling 25:4
24:16	30:22 33:20	represent 12:13	15:20 17:13	rulings 14:7
re-election	38:15 42:5	17:14 20:21	requiring 17:24	Ryan 2:6 8:4
30:5	RedistrictingC	29:1,18,18,20	18:15 27:18	21:24 22:3
		20.1,10,10,20	10.10 27.10	

26:24 37:14,17	40:18	20:22	SPRINGFIELD	stuck 36:20
38:3,21	send 20:4	slide 5:21 12:7	51:2	studying 17:2
50.5,21	31:20 49:16	slow 33:11	SS 51:1	subject 23:1
S	September 15:7	smaller 19:14	staff 4:1 13:7,10	44:18
S 2:1	series 4:5 14:7	smoke 43:17	13:12,14	submission
sampling 24:10	serve 15:10	social 4:15,18	staffers 9:4	28:13
sausage 35:23	25:19	30:11		submit 5:13 6:1
saw 11:19 31:10	Services 2:23		stakeholders 28:20	15:8 18:19
48:13		socially 17:7		20:3
saying 34:13	set 23:16 30:22	solely 17:18	standard 16:8	
35:17,19 37:24	37:10 38:14	solving 30:7	standards 28:17	submits 35:18
46:23 47:13	47:24 51:9	somewhat	stands 19:18	submitted 5:16
scandals 30:12	Severin 2:5	46:24	start 8:7 46:7,11	7:4,13
schedule 4:7	shape 16:9	sorry 8:10 20:9	state 3:2,19,20	submitting
34:4	share 5:11 10:18	31:16 48:14	4:1,6 8:23,23	48:18 49:5,6
scheduled 23:7	11:20,22,24	49:1	11:20 12:19	substantially
school 30:10	13:17 19:21	sounds 7:4	13:23 14:2,5,8	15:19
schools 21:8	21:17 35:6	37:22 49:18	14:9,12,18,18	suggested
scientific 16:6	shared 26:7	sources 25:8	15:9,18,20	37:15 38:3
	42:21	south 21:2	16:2 17:4,12	suggestions
scope 46:22 screen 10:18	sharing 11:4	Spain 2:6	18:10,12,16	4:23 20:5
	48:19	38:20,23	19:6 23:10,12	summarize 6:2
13:17 18:18	shifts 3:15	40:23,24 43:9	23:14 24:21	support 45:18
seal 51:10	short 4:24 5:21	44:7 45:13	25:21,24	46:4,6
second 9:10	Shorthand 51:4	Spain's 45:8	27:10 39:24	supporting
41:6 43:12	shoved 46:8	span 21:10	40:11,17 46:19	46:12
secretary 15:9	show 5:21 23:2	Spanish 9:1	51:1	supports 11:6,6
see 6:20 8:17	31:12 35:24	speaker 44:14	state's 13:20	supposed 13:7
8:20 9:3,20	showing 34:12	44:16 45:1	19:11 22:17	Supreme 14:7
19:14,15,16	49:8,10	48:3,6	stated 45:15,20	15:7
20:24 21:14	shows 12:7,15	speakers 26:10	statement 3:5	sure 6:5 7:16
24:2 32:5	18:23 19:4,6	speaking 19:11	6:4	8:11 11:6 13:4
33:20 34:20	siblings 21:8	32:19	statements 6:19	21:13 31:19,24
36:1,5 38:17	signed 14:20	specific 4:18,20	states 3:12 4:12	33:7 39:6 41:7
39:4 40:19	significant 9:12	spell 7:20 8:9	14:6,11 16:15	43:9 49:4,20
44:21 45:5,12	simple 35:22	20:13	25:5 43:7	surfaces 36:2
48:8,22 50:1	simply 23:8	spelled 10:14	statewide 19:13	surpass 28:9
seek 43:5	single 12:18	45:24	statute 16:7	Survey 24:10
seen 21:7 24:6	site 5:7 6:20	spent 23:12	statutorily 48:1	Syrian 11:10
select 43:21	7:11 20:1 28:12	split 39:19,20	48:2	32:19
senate 14:18	34:23 35:17	40:16	step 13:3,5 46:7	
16:17 22:20	sites 5:20 23:18	spoken 41:3	46:10,14	
25:8 28:5	situation 45:9	42:21	stores 49:11	T-o-l-l-e-y 22:4
33:3 36:23	six 19:2	Spokesperson	story 12:11	take 6:7,9 23:7
40:17 42:23	skeptical 7:6	2:4 10:8	Street 2:24	34:3,3 42:7
senator 8:23,23	slash 5:9,18	sponsor 41:21	strict 29:22	43:16 50:1
8:23 48:1	19:24	spread 16:10	strong 8:20	taken 8:11 24:3
senators 40:17	slices 12:13	40:7	strongly 44:2	36:6
	I	I		I

takes 42:19	27:3 29:9,14	30:17	43:24	values 26:7,19
talk 12:22 33:21	29:16 31:1,2,6	timing 43:12	type 9:3	various 41:16
33:24 35:5	36:18 38:16,17	today 3:7 5:21		various 41.10 vary 17:12
41:13	40:13,24 41:1	6:12 7:15,22	U	version 9:1 42:2
talked 32:1	41:10 44:5,6	10:18 18:21	U.S 14:7 18:12	43:14
42:23 44:20	48:14	19:21 22:3	18:24 19:3	Vice-Chairper
	thanks 33:7	32:16 33:16,19	underreprese	2:3
talking 32:7 33:13,14		34:22 39:3	30:9	2.3 views 4:18
	theory 16:8		understand	
Tarver 2:3	therapists 21:5	41:4 43:2	15:14 32:7,24	Villa 8:24
taught 9:11	thing 8:13 9:15	44:22 45:6	34:4 49:8	virtual 4:5
team 31:19 32:7	11:24 34:22	today's 3:4,9	understanding	visual 16:15
49:9	34:23 36:20	told 25:8	6:13 32:11	vocal 11:11
teleconference	40:3 43:13	Tolley 8:5 21:24	36:4	voice 8:18
1:7	things 10:1 35:4	22:1,4 33:1,7	understands	voices 17:10
ten 3:14 10:23	35:15,22	35:3 36:12	35:23	vote 11:17 14:11
11:12 14:12	39:12 44:21	41:13 42:10		15:4
23:17 25:14	45:5 46:16	44:10,13,16,20	unfair 30:6 39:12	voted 45:17
32:13 40:16,18	think 6:18 8:15	45:2 46:15,18		voters 8:6 18:5
tends 39:19,21	8:15 9:11,18,23	47:7	unfairness	27:2,7,9 29:12
tentative 25:9	20:12 21:22	tone 30:22	43:21	29:23 30:4
terms 43:11	32:20 33:8	tools 30:6	unincorporated	31:1 42:16
Terra 2:7	34:11 36:11,12	total 4:10	15:23	43:19,22
terrible 49:2	36:22 38:8,12	township 16:23	unique 4:17	votes 14:19 24:3
test 16:15	38:14,15 39:8	40:9	45:9	24:5 28:12
testified 22:19	39:11,23 40:3	Transcripts 5:19	United 3:12 4:12	36:3,6
testify 5:24	42:22 43:7	transparency	14:6	voting 4:12,14
22:3 27:5	44:2 45:2,8	3:17 18:14	unjust 12:20	17:15,22 25:21
29:13 34:22	46:12 47:22	22:21 23:1	unprecedented	27:17 28:16,16
40:13	48:8 49:19	28:6 30:13	3:12	35:7,7,10,12
testifying 35:14	third 20:19	42:24	unsuccessful	42:24
testimony 5:1,13	thought 31:8,10	transparent 11:7	42:15	VRA 36:10
5:16,24 6:1	48:13 49:4	22:11 26:19	upcoming 4:3	
18:21 19:22	thoughts 17:3	27:13 29:11,21	22:23 25:16	W
20:5 23:4	19:21	32:2,3,5	update 32:15	wait 10:8 24:24
24:11,22 27:1	three 6:12 12:13	trends 14:2 19:4	updated 32:12	43:6
34:9 35:18,19	21:6 38:14	Tribune 25:7	uploaded 5:20	want 4:18,24
36:5,13,19	throats 46:9	tried 47:23,24	Urdu 9:10	11:24 12:3
38:16 39:3	Tim 2:4	try 6:17	urge 26:22	25:23 35:24
41:2 43:3,10	time 5:3 11:19	trying 33:8	46:6,10	39:5,12 41:1,10
43:23 44:22	20:5 21:16,19	46:23	use 24:1,10	41:13 46:15,18
Texas 12:17	23:11 25:3	tune 33:19	34:23 35:20	48:12 49:19
thank 3:8 6:5	27:20 30:13	turn 6:3	35:20 37:8,17	49:20
8:9 10:7,16	34:3,5 35:5	turning 30:20	38:4,5 45:21	wanted 20:18
11:2 12:23,24	43:19 49:21	TV 38:2		water 15:23
19:20 20:7,8	50:1 51:6	two 15:1,8 17:24	<u> </u>	way 26:3 34:10
21:19,20,20	timeline 14:14	22:12 33:3	vague 23:3	38:2 39:7
22:1 26:24	times 9:24	36:2,23 43:10	value 26:8	40:19 42:4
	l	l ·	l	l

44:3 47:15	work 10:23 19:5	15 42:1	
ways 16:6,21	28:14 34:3	150 10:20	
20:1 35:3	worked 11:15	17 4:9	
36:15	27:10 41:15	174.9 17th 23:6	
we'll 13:11 21:21	42:18	1960's 14:8	
21:23 27:1	working 21:12	1990's 27:11	
38:5	34:1 41:12 43:1	1st 15:7	
we're 33:22,23	works 4:22	2	
35:20 36:2	33:20	20 21:11	
37:4,11,12	world 43:19	2010 11:9 19:9	
38:10 39:12	wow 37:22	2010 11.9 19.9 2011 4:8 26:4	
43:4 46:8	written 5:13,15		
47:22	5:24 18:20	27:15,23 28:9	
we've 18:22	20:4 28:14	2019 19:5	
21:6 24:6 33:3		2020 23:13	
33:8 36:24	$\frac{X}{X}$	27:24	
41:15 46:20	XYZ 35:14	2021 1:6 27:23	
47:9,22,23	Y	28:22	
web 5:7 6:20		23 4:7	
7:11 20:1 23:18	year 5:3 11:15	2554 28:5	
28:12 34:23	21:10 27:24	2nd 1:5 42:17	
35:17	42:18 44:17	3	
week 25:7	45:15 47:3		
weeks 22:12	years 3:14 11:12	30 16:5 22:10	
24:2	14:12 21:9,11	34:17 37:3,11	
weigh 24:4	23:11,17 25:14	30th 14:22 31:10	
25:16	32:13 40:16,18	38:9 46:9	
Welch 44:14	41:16 47:10	35 28:8	
weren't 11:11	yesterday 6:19	3581 28:5 42:19	
West 8:15	41:5 45:15,20	4	
WHEREOF 51:9	York 12:17		
whim 24:16	Z	41 42:3	
white 12:10,13		43 41:23	
19:11,17 21:3	Zoom 1:7	49th 8:16,19	
32:17,19	0	5	
widespread	084-002342	5th 15:13	
23:13	2:23		
Willis 2:7 3:6		6	
48:22	1		
wish 4:3 6:4	10 21:11	7	
WITNESS 51:9	10:00 1:6	711 2:24	
witnesses 5:23	100th 41:22		
7:18 13:6,8,11	101st 41:24		
31:7 41:1,3	11 6:15		
49:20	11:10 1:7		
Women 8:6	12 9:9		
27:2,7,9 29:12			
· , ,		I	